

Asset Risk | Consulting

Monthly macro focus

July 2026

Knowing your onions



Macro focus

Knowing your onions

When several chefs are cooking, someone still needs to balance the menu.

Equity markets look increasingly expensive. That does not mean a correction is imminent, but it does mean investors should understand how much equity risk they really own across all their portfolios. ARC's role is to ensure that aggregate exposure reflects deliberate decisions rather than several managers independently making the same bet.

The old French onion seller had all the stereotypes. Breton striped jumper, beret, bicycle and strings of onions hanging around his neck.

While in a queue as a child, I watched a customer ask whether the onions were any good. I remember thinking it was an odd question.

What answer was he expecting? No onion seller has ever replied, *"Actually, these are dreadful, it's not the best time to buy and quite honestly they're overpriced too."*

Investment markets can feel much the same. Fund managers are routinely asked *"Is it the right time to buy?"* by private clients and private client portfolio managers alike. Ask the onion salesperson and what do you expect them to say?

This is where discretionary managers can add value. They don't just sell onions. They design a dish. Multi-asset managers are the chefs. They decide how much onion, how much spice, how much protein and how much garnish belongs on the plate. They choose between shares, bonds, cash, alternatives and other assets. They decide on the proportions and make adjustments as conditions change.

Reasonable chefs can legitimately produce very different dishes from the same pantry. The challenge is that if you hire several chefs, someone still needs to think about the overall meal. Left alone, it is surprisingly easy to end up with several different chefs all independently deciding that onions are the answer. What appears to be variety can turn out to be the same ingredient expressed in slightly different ways.

A family may believe they have diversification. In reality, they may simply have several versions of the same bet.

We help clients choose their dishes and chefs. We generally prefer several. Different managers bring different philosophies, research capabilities and perspectives. Some offer highly active approaches built on deep research. Others provide low-cost systematic exposure. The aim is not variety for its own sake, but a collection of approaches that complement each other. Diversifying decision-making can be as valuable as diversifying assets.

We also have our own long-term views on strategic asset allocation. Today, those views recognise that parts of the equity market look a little frothy. Valuations appear full, concentration has increased and optimism remains abundant.

Investors who warn that shares are expensive can look foolish for years while markets continue climbing. Some lose clients and some lose their jobs. Yet they may ultimately be proved right, just on a timetable that rewards neither patience nor career preservation.

Equally, markets can overshoot on the downside too. Assets that later prove to be bargains often look least attractive at the moment of maximum opportunity.

Which is why our job is not to predict the precise day enthusiasm peaks. Our job is to assess the combined menu.

How much equity exposure does a family really have? How much risk is concentrated in a handful of assumptions? Are managers genuinely bringing different ingredients and techniques, or are they all serving variations of the same dish?

Simple, disciplined rebalancing remains one of the most effective ways of avoiding mandate drift. It naturally trims assets that have become a larger part of the portfolio and reallocates towards those that have become smaller. Our top-down views can also influence target allocations and style exposures when we believe long-term expected returns justify this.

Sometimes our conclusion is that the meal is well balanced.

Sometimes we conclude there is simply too much onion.

At moments when equity markets look particularly rich, that question becomes even more important. We may have an overarching view on the appropriate composition of the meal while recognising that nobody knows precisely when markets will turn. We are not trying to forecast the exact day that the music stops. We aim to ensure a family is not inadvertently eating an onion dopiaza topped with spring onions and served with a side of chives.

Nobody knows when today's market leaders will stumble, or whether current valuations will become more extreme before they reverse.

What we can do is understand exactly how much onion is on the plate, who put it there and whether the overall meal still suits the diner. When several chefs independently decide that onions are the answer, the resulting meal can leave eyes watering for all the wrong reasons.



Dr James R Cooke, CFA
Director of Research
james.cooke@assetrisk.com

Market commentary

July saw investor focus shift away from geopolitical tensions and towards second-quarter earnings.

Early in the month, escalating tensions between the US and Iran briefly pushed Brent crude oil above \$100 per barrel, lifting energy prices and reigniting inflation concerns.

As tensions eased, attention returned to corporate earnings, where major technology companies reaffirmed their commitment to AI investment. Investors became increasingly selective, however, leading to weakness in some hyperscaler stocks and a rotation towards value-oriented sectors. Government bond yields also moved higher due to persisting fiscal deficits resulting in heavy sovereign debt supply and rising energy prices reinforced expectations that interest rates could remain elevated for longer.

In the US, second-quarter GDP growth slowed to an annualised 1.5%, down from 2.1% in the first quarter, while inflation remained above target, with CPI rising 3.5% year-on-year in June. The Federal Reserve left rates unchanged at 3.5% to 3.75% for a fifth consecutive meeting, while highlighting ongoing inflation risks. Labour market conditions continued to soften, with non-farm payrolls falling by 23,000 in July. The unemployment rate fell to 4.1% from 4.2%; however, this was partly driven by a decline in the labour force participation.

In the UK, economic activity remained resilient, with GDP growing by 0.7% in the three months to May. Inflation continued to moderate, with CPI falling to 2.6% in June from 2.8% in May, while wage growth remained positive in real terms. The Bank of England left interest rates unchanged at 3.75%, maintaining a cautious approach despite easing inflationary pressures. Labour market conditions remained broadly stable. UK equities outperformed many developed market peers during the month, supported by strong performance from energy and financial companies.

In the Eurozone, inflation edged higher to 2.9% in July, driven largely by rising energy costs. Economic activity improved during the second quarter, with GDP expanding by 0.4% quarter-on-quarter and 1.0% year-on-year. The European Central Bank left interest rates unchanged and highlighted uncertainty surrounding the inflationary impact of higher energy prices. European equities were broadly flat over the month, with strength in industrial and financial sectors helping to offset weakness in technology companies.

Emerging markets delivered mixed performance during July. Whilst China recorded strong gains, several other markets within the region came under pressure, with Taiwan's and South Korea's headline indices falling by 6.5% and 22.2%, respectively.

Volatile energy prices, rising bond yields and persistent inflation concerns are keeping markets alert, while investors have started to question the current level of AI investments by some of the hyperscalers.

This document was produced by Asset Risk Consultants ("**ARC**") and is for the use of intended recipients and may not be reproduced (in whole or in part) or delivered or transmitted to any other person without the prior written consent of ARC. This document is neither an offer nor a solicitation to buy or sell any investment referred to in this document. This document does not amount to investment advice. By accepting this document you agree to be bound by the foregoing limitations.

Any advice or recommendation rendered by us to you or the results of any research carried out on your behalf is provided solely for the purpose of this engagement and for your benefit. ARC does not accept any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of material contained in this report. All estimates and opinions included in this report constitute our judgements as of the date of this report.

Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance. The value of investments and the income derived from them can go down as well as up and an investor may not get back the amount invested and may be affected by fluctuations in markets and exchange rates. Some of the performance history utilised in the analysis was supplied by Refinitiv, a member of the LSE Group, and other data sources. All reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure the accuracy of the information provided but no assurances or warranties are given.

As part of an organisation which provides a number of services to a range of clients, there may be times when there is a conflict between our interests, or the interest of another company within the same group as us and the duty we owe to a client, or a conflict between the differing interests of two or more clients to whom in each case we owe a duty. Under regulatory rules we are required to have in place arrangements with a view to taking all reasonable steps to prevent such conflicts of interest constituting or giving rise to a material risk of damage to the interests of our clients. We have established a comprehensive conflicts of interest policy to identify and manage such actual or potential conflicts of interest. Where we do not consider that the arrangements under our conflicts of interest policy are sufficient to manage a particular conflict, we will inform you of the nature and/or source of the conflict. Our full conflicts of interest policy is available on request.

Among the index data referenced herein is data which is the property of ICE Data Indices, LLC, its affiliates ("ICE Data") and / or its Third Party Suppliers and has been licensed for use by ARC Group Limited and its subsidiaries. ICE Data and its Third Party Suppliers accept no liability in connection with its use. See : assetrisk.com/third-party-disclaimers for a full copy of the ICE Disclaimer.

Certain of the blended returns are calculated by ARC Group Limited and its subsidiaries using the end of day index level values licensed from MSCI ("MSCI Data"). For the avoidance of doubt, MSCI is not the benchmark "administrator" for, or a "contributor", "submitter", or "supervised contributor" to, the blended returns, and the MSCI data is not considered a "contribution" or "submission" in relation to the blended returns, as those terms may be defined in any rules, laws, regulations, legislation or international standards. MSCI Data is provided "AS IS" without warranty or liability and no copying or distribution is permitted. MSCI does not make any representation regarding the advisability of any investment or strategy, including any financial products or strategies based on tracking or otherwise utilising any MSCI Data, models, analytics or other materials or information.

Asset Risk Consultants (UK) Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (ref 652972) and regulated by the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC file number 801-113652)

Asset Risk Consultants Limited is authorised and regulated by the Guernsey Financial Services Commission (ref 92296)

Asset Risk Consultants (Jersey) Limited is authorised and regulated by the Jersey Financial Services Commission (IB0257)



Independent investment advice
that avoids costly mistakes.

ARC

[assetrisk.com](https://www.assetrisk.com)